America's
FUTURE

A Weekly Review of News, Books and Public Affairs

Volume 2 October 21, 1960 Number 43

Witch's Brew 1
How to Judge the UN 2
Uncle Sap Pays the Bills 4
They Mean It . . . Book Review 5
To College Students 7
Better and Cheaper 7
Second Most Costly Item 8

WITCH'S BREW

With the approach of the 15th anniversary of the United Nations, there is a rising tide of nonsense about that dangerous outfit. The more we listen to this nonsense, the more dangerous it will become to the United States. Propaganda in favor of the United Nations is pretty hard to buck. But now even some observers who, in the past, were inclined to accept without question our involvement in this witch's brew, are having their doubts. When the President says 'We must believe in the United Nations' and the leading candidates in both parties seemingly go along with that sentiment, more and more people ask: Why? Why must we believe in the United Nations?

It is a good question, particularly in view of recent events in and around that big glass house in New York. All the headlines seemed to boil down to a knockdown and drag-out fight between Soviet Boss Khrushchev and Secretary-General Hammarskjold. Khrushchev, of course, wants to

control the UN the way he wants to control everything else. When he failed to oust the Secretary-General from his job, this was hailed as a great victory for our side. But let's look behind the headlines and see what actually happened.

In this fight, the moral, political and economic principles of the United States and other western nations did *not* prevail. What prevailed was a growing bloc of small and new nations which call themselves 'neutrals.' That is, they pretend to be neutral as regards the struggle between the United States and Russia. But when showdowns come, their so-called 'neutralism' usually leans to Red Russia.

Now just exactly what is Secretary Hammarskjold's idea of the function of the United Nations? He seemed to oppose Khrushchev, but in opposing him he also took a few cracks at the other big powers, including the United States. He declared openly that his sympathies were *not* pro-western. Thus, the Secretary-General played directly to this new 'neutralist' bloc of countries which includes many nations fresh from the jungle. He said the United Nations was 'first of all their organization.' So it is these nations, generally antagonistic to America despite all our handouts, which will form our foreign policy if we are foolish enough to remain in the United Nations.

HOW TO JUDGE THE UN

It would seem that any fair-minded person should judge the United Nations by its actions – not by the waves of propaganda from its adherents which sweep over our minds. It is impossible, in this brief space, to look at the entire record of the United Nations for the last 15 years. But a mere sampling of its activities in recent years is sufficient to indicate a deadly serious fact for Americans – namely, that the United Nations, far from being the great peacemaker of the world, means nothing but trouble for the United States.

Take, for instance, the most recent session of the UN. Did we have any idea, when we first got embroiled in this mess, that a world-wide meeting of all the Red slave-masters would be held

America's
FUTURE

Published every week by America's Future, Inc., 542 Main Street, New Rochelle, New York. A non-profit, educational organization.

R. K. Scott . . . . . Publisher
Rosalie M. Gordon . . . . . Editor
John C. Wetzel . . . . Business Manager

Subscription Price: $5 per year, $12 for three years. Ten week trial subscription $1. Additional copies of specific issues: 1 copy for 15¢; 10 copies for $1; 50 for $4; 100 for $6; 1,000 for $30 — each price for bulk mailing to one person.

Second class mail privileges authorized at New Rochelle, New York.

on the soil of the United States of America? You would have to live in New York City to appreciate the sense of disgust and anger which that whole spectacle generated in the minds of real Americans. We had to watch one of the finest police departments in the world forced to protect, not the citizens of New York, but a gang of world murderers. It made you sick at heart to see everyday, ordinary Americans – out for a drive or a little shopping – shunted to the side of the road while screaming sirens, motorcycle police, and big black limousines escorted the Butcher of Budapest to his week-end retreat on Long Island.

It will not do to say that Khrushchev & Company's propaganda show in the United States – courtesy of the United Nations – was a flop. That remains to be seen. Khrushchev did not come here primarily for any effect his visit might have on Americans. One of his objectives was the effect such a visit might have on his own people and on his captive nations. We know the truth about how Khrushchev was received in America. But Moscow Radio gave the Russian and captive peoples an entirely different picture of his arrival on our shores. There was not a word about the demonstrations against the Soviet Boss – only about his 'historic voyage,' about a pier bedecked with flags, and about lots of people to greet him despite the pouring rain. Moscow Radio made sure to mention that among those on hand to greet Khrushchev was the 'great American financier,' Cyrus Eaton. Can you imagine the effect of all this on the minds of captive peoples who want to think of America as the defender of freedom? But the big point is that none of this could have happened without the United Nations here on our soil.

One of the worst aspects of American involvement in the United Nations is the effect it has had on our moral and ethical principles. We make the kind of compromises we never dreamed of making back in the days when we were a much weaker nation, but when we acted only in our own interest. Do you remember, for example, the Hungarian revolt against Soviet rule? That was when Boss Khrushchev earned his title of Butcher of Budapest by murdering thousands of Hungarians whose only crime was that they wanted freedom from Red rule.

When the issue of condemning Soviet Russia for its action in Hungary came up in the United Nations, what happened? Did America, the defender of freedom, fight to the end for the rights of the Hungarians in the UN? No. The United Nations, full of communist, socialist and neutralist nations, could not muster a two-thirds vote to condemn Red Russia. So we compromised and sat back complacently – and American delegates sit in the UN with a Red puppet Hungarian dictator installed by Khrushchev. Once again, the UN became an instrument not of peace, but of force for the Reds.

UNCLE SAP PAYS THE BILLS

Somehow or other, despite all the brave words, the United Nations always winds up on the side opposed to American interests. The current mess in the Congo is only one example. We are paying most of the costs to keep a so-called 'peace' – via the UN – in that new African nation. But the net result of most UN activity there has been to protect the Congolese leader closest to Moscow.

Once or twice since we joined the United Nations, we got our backs up, and acted on our own. The result? The United Nations got very mad at us for our action during the Suez crisis. When we sent Marines into Lebanon at the request of that nation's government – and immediately withdrew them when the crisis was over – we escaped *censure* by the UN by only a hair's breadth. The UN can get excited about things like this. But the butchery of Red Russia in Hungary, or of Red China in Tibet, leaves it cold. And of course there is the classic example, among

many others, of the war in Korea. For the first time in its history, the United States was prevented from carrying a war to victory because it was hamstrung by the UN.

This is a sorry record, to say the least. But do not forget that bad as the record is, we still foot the greater part of UN's bills. The communist countries, including Soviet Russia, are in arrears to the UN for about 24 million dollars, and give no indication of paying up. This year the United States, which means the American taxpayer, will pay 200 million dollars to support the UN. Russia's bill will be only about 17 million, which she may or may not pay. No wonder Uncle Sam is getting to be known around the world as Uncle Sap.

– John T. Flynn

Foregoing items covered in Mutual network broadcast 10/16/60

* *

Book Review
THEY MEAN IT

YOU CAN TRUST THE COMMUNISTS *by Dr. Fred Schwarz, 187 pages, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., $2.95.*

Dr. Schwarz, an Australian who now lives and lectures in the United States, has dedicated himself to the good fight – the fight to win out against world communism. The intriguing title on this intriguing book is a refutation of Winston Churchill's famous phrase that Soviet Russia is 'a riddle wrapped in an enigma.' If we pay attention to the philosophy, objectives and aims of world communism, of which the Soviet Union is the fountainhead, it becomes no longer either a riddle or an enigma. You can trust the communists to act exactly as they have said, over and over again, they intend to act. In other words, they mean what they say – but you can understand what they say, and how and why they act, only if you interpret them within the framework of *their* meaning, undistorted by the accepted Western meaning of their words and acts.

This is what Dr. Schwarz sets out to do. He succeeds admirably – almost too admirably. He does bring to every phase of communist activity, particularly in the United States, a fresh and amazingly penetrating analysis. Perhaps

his lecture experience, in which he has had to answer every imaginable question about the Reds and their dupes, enables him to provide arguments and details sadly missing from many otherwise fine books on this subject. And his volume has the virtue of clear, easy-to-read prose, plus chapter and sub-chapter arrangement to facilitate finding an answer to nearly all the puzzling aspects of the world's greatest problem.

Dr. Schwarz has made a great contribution to the literature on communism. His book has only one major fault, which is perhaps an unconscious one. In his effort to arouse us to the true meaning of the menace we face, he tends to build the enemy into something of a superman, and neglects that part of the picture which indicates that world communism is not the irresistible force its adherents believe it to be. There is the hazard that some who read his book casually may throw up their hands in despair with the thought: How can one resist a force like this? That Dr. Schwarz himself is not party to this despair is evident in his closing chapter wherein he outlines a 'program for survival,' and in certain observations in the body of the book itself as, for instance, the following on the meaning of Red 'peace' overtures:

"If, tomorrow, the leaders of the Free Nations were to accede to every demand made by the Communist leaders, if they were to neutralize every Strategic Air Command base, if they were to grant the demands on Germany, if they were to neutralize Formosa, if they were to recognize Red China and admit it to the United Nations, if the United States were to withdraw every serviceman and weapon within the borders of continental United States, the Communists would merely believe they had won massive victories in the class war. A step towards our final conquest and destruction would have been taken. We must either recognize this and defend against it, or ignore it and be destroyed. We have no other choice."

– Rosalie Gordon

Note of encouragement on a poor 'progressive' report-card: "Johnny contributes nicely to the group singing by helpful listening." – reported by the Council for Basic Education

TO COLLEGE STUDENTS, THEIR PARENTS AND/OR RELATIVES AND FRIENDS

AMERICA'S FUTURE will provide, free of charge, a subscription to this weekly newsletter for the period of the current college year (to expire with the June 30, 1961 issue) to any *bona fide* student in an American institution of higher learning. Simply send the name of the student and his or her college address to *AMERICA'S FUTURE*, 542 Main Street, New Rochelle, New York. A complimentary subscription will be issued immediately on receipt of your request.

BETTER AND CHEAPER

"Russian Premier Nikita S. Khrushchev suggested that it might be a good idea for the UN to get out of the United States, which built the 67½ million dollar glass and steel home on 18 valuable New York City acres. The United States foots about 30 percent of the total cost of the 96-nation organization. Russia pays about 15 percent.

"The Khrushchev blast set many Americans to wondering whether it wouldn't be better and cheaper for the United States to pull out of the UN. One could get a 100 percent vote for withdrawal from UN, or moving UN, from the New York Police Department, whose members grew weary of protecting

AMERICA'S FUTURE, INC., 542 Main St., New Rochelle, N.Y.

I understand you fill orders for books at regular list price, postage paid. Please mail me_____copies of the book noted below.
My check for $_____is enclosed ☐ Please bill me ☐.

Book I want is _____

NAME _____

Address _____ Date _____

the communists and their beatnik pals at a cost of a million and a half dollars a week." *– Walter Trohan in the Chicago TRIBUNE*

SECOND MOST COSTLY ITEM

"According to the Citizens Public Expenditure Survey, interest on the public debt runs to $18,073.33 a minute, or $1,084,400 an hour. If you have a $5,000 a year income, your share of the cost is $51. Interest is now the second most costly item in your federal tax bill, headed only by national security expenditures. *– Waynesville (N.C.) MOUNTAINEER*

AMERICA'S FUTURE, INC.
542 Main St. New Rochelle N Y